

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-3-2013	08-MD-02002	(E.D. Pa.)	<p>In re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants conspired to limit the supply and raise the price of shell eggs and egg products, in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons, entities in the United States that purchased shell eggs and egg products, in the United States, directly from any producer from 1-1-2000 through [date of preliminary hearing]. Due to the Cal-Maine Settlement, the prior Sparboe Settlement, approved by the Court last year, is amended to add to the Sparboe Settlement Class direct purchases of shell eggs and egg products from 10-24-2009 through [date of preliminary hearing], expanding the Class Period to make it comparable to the Cal-Maine Class.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: www.eggproductssettlement.com</p>
9-4-2013	13-CV-4798	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Joseph v. Marbles LLC Plaintiff alleges that Defendants wrongly printed the expiration dates of credit or debit card numbers on customers' receipts in violation of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who received an electronically printed receipt from any Marbles store in the United States at the point of sale or transaction via any internet purchase from the Marbles website occurring between 12-6-2012 and 7-5-2013, where the receipt displayed the person's credit card or debit card expiration date.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to: Thomas A. Zimmerman, Jr. Zimmerman Law Offices, P.C. 77 West Washington Street Suite 1220 Chicago, IL 60602</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-6-2013	8-CV-03601	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Landmen Partners, Inc., Individually and On Behalf of All Others similarly Situated v. The Blackstone Group, L.P., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated federal securities laws by misrepresenting and/or failing to disclose, in the offering documents associated with Blackstone's initial public offering ("IPO") (on or about 6-21-2007), material information regarding adverse facts, trends, developments or uncertainties facing investments in certain Blackstone-managed investment funds, which, in turn, were reasonably likely to have a material adverse impact on themselves and the other members of the Class.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who purchased common units in its IPO in the United States or in the open market on the New York Stock Exchange between 6-21-2007 and 3-12-2008, inclusive.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Blackstone Securities Litigation c/o Gilardi & Co., LLC Notice Administration P.O. Box 8040 San Rafael, CA 94912</p>
9-9-2013	13-CV-02289	(M.D. Pa.)	<p>Demchak Partners Limited Partnership v. Chesapeake Appalachia, LLC ("Chesapeake")</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Chesapeake underpaid royalties by, among other things, deducting post-production costs that were incurred to place gas in marketable form in violation of their leases, and further allege that Chesapeake used below-market sales prices to calculate royalty payments. Under the royalty calculation methodology utilized by Chesapeake in the ordinary course of business, Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members have borne and/or in the future would bear, one hundred</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Larry D. Moffett Daniel Coker Horton & Bell, P.A. P.O. Box 1396 Oxford, MS 38655-1396</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>percent (100%) of Post-Production Costs on a pro rata basis, based on Chesapeake's belief that the gas produced or to be produced under the Pennsylvania leases, both from existing wells and future wells, is in marketable form at the wellhead.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals and entities, including their predecessors and successors-in-interest, who are lessor parties in an oil and gas lease that (a) covers a leasehold located in Pennsylvania, (b) contains a Market Enhancement Class, and (c) is or has been owned, in whole or in part, by Chesapeake as a lessee, according to the business records maintained by Chesapeake.</p>		
9-9-2013	12-CV-1326	(E.D. Pa.)	<p>Fleisher, et al. v. Fiber Composites, LLC ("Fiberon")</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that the Fiberon products had a latent defect that manifested as dark spots due to mildew, mold or other fungal growth, and brought claims against Fiberon for alleged breach of the implied warranty of merchantability and under certain state consumer protection laws.</p> <p>Class Members are all owners of residences, commercial buildings or other structures (other than commercial buildings and other structures in "marine environments") in the United States with a deck constructed of composite decking, railing, or fencing material sold under the brand or trademarks Veranda™ TD Composite Decking, Fiberon™ M Pro Series Composite Decking, Fiberon™ Tropics, Fiberon™ Classic</p>	11-27-2013	<p>For more information visit: www.fiberonsettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Composite Decking or Portico™ Composite Decking purchased and installed after 3-14-2008. In this class action, marine environments includes all commercial properties and other structures where any part of the property or structure is within 500 feet of water (e.g., oceans, seas, bays, inlets, rivers, streams, lakes, other bodies of water), and thus such properties and structures are excluded.		
9-9-2013	5-CV-01094	(N.D. Ohio)	<p>Zloro Johnson v. Midland Credit Management Incorporated, et al. Plaintiff alleges that Midland Credit Management Incorporated ("Midland") violated the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act by failing to provide class members with mandatory disclosures when attempting to collect debts allegedly owed to Midland.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who were sent a notice from Defendants, between 3-18-2004, and 11-30-2006, alleging a debt owed to Midland and seeking to collect the debt.</p>	12-12-2013	<p>For more information write, call or visit:</p> <p>Murray & Murray Co., L.P.A Dennis E. Murray, Sr., Esq. Donna Jean A. Evans, Esq. 111 E. Shoreline Drive Sandusky, Ohio 44870</p> <p>419 624-3000</p> <p>MidlandClass@murrayandmurray.com</p>
9-9-2013	8-CV-00042	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p>Precision Associates Inc. v. Panalpina World Transport (Holding) Ltd. Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants conspired, in violation of antitrust laws, to fix the prices for freight forwarding services during certain time periods. The Plaintiffs claim that the Defendants' conspiracies were worldwide, including on shipping routes between the United States and China, Hong Kong, Japan, Taiwan, and the United Kingdom. Plaintiffs</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call or visit:</p> <p>1 877 276-7340</p> <p>www.FreightForwrdCase.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>claim that Defendants agreed to fix various charges and surcharges associated with providing freight forwarding services.</p> <p>Class Members are all who: 1) directly purchased Freight Forwarding Services; 2) from any of the Defendants, their subsidiaries, or affiliates; 3) in the United State or outside the United States but for shipments within, to, or from the United States; and 4) from 1-1-2001 through 9-14-2012.</p>		
9-10-2013	12-CV-422	(W.D. Va.)	<p>John Hamilton Stinson v. Advance Auto Parts Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Advance Auto violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) because it failed to provide a lawful pre-adverse action notice and copy of a consumer report used to make an employment decision before taking adverse action.</p> <p>Class Members are all natural persons residing in the United States or its territories, (a) who applied for an employment position with Defendant or any of its subsidiaries, (b) as a part of this application process were the subject of a consumer report obtained by Defendant, (c) where that consumer report contained a criminal public record or credit item that would disqualify the person from such position under Defendant's written hiring policies, (d) which consumer was not then approved or hired for the position, (e) and to whom Defendant did not provide a copy of the consumer report and other disclosures at least five business days before the date the</p>	10-23-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Leonard A. Bennett Susan M. Rotkis Consumer Litigation Associates, P.C. 763 J. Clyde Morris Blvd. Suite 1A Newport News, VA 23601</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			employment decision is first noted in Defendant's records.		
9-10-2013	10-CV-00318	(D. Md.)	In re: Titanium Dioxide Antitrust Litigation The Court has scheduled a final approval hearing on the proposed Cristal statement and the proposed settlements with the other three defendants for 11-25-2013 (see CAFA Notice dated 8-22-2013).	11-25-2013	For more information write or call: Solomon B. Cera C. Andrew Dirksen Gold Bennett Cera & Sidener LLP 595 Market Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 415 777-2230
9-10-2013	10-CV-00318	(D. Md.)	In re: Titanium Dioxide Antitrust Litigation The Court's Order Granting Plaintiff's Motions for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlements with Defendants Huntsman International, LLC, E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, Cristal USA, Inc., and Kronos Worldwide, Inc.; approving Form and Manner of Notice, and Scheduling Final Approval Hearings was issued 9-10-2013 ("Order"). (See CAFA Notice dated 8-22-2013).	11-25-2013	For more information write, call or e-mail Erica L. Krennerich Vinson & Elkins LLP 1001 Fannin Street Houston, TX 77002 713 758-2112 ekrennerich@velaw.com
9-11-2013	9-CV-0670	(N.D. Cal.)	Mahan, et al. v. Trex Company, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that certain Trex composite decking, railing, and fencing material is susceptible to mold, mildew, fungal, or other dark or gray growth or spotting, or color variation or color fading. Class Members are all who own or owned a Trex® brand no-shelled wood-plastic composite	12-13-2013	For more information write or visit: Steve W. Berman Robert F. Lopez Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP 1918 Eighth Avenue Suite 3300

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			decking, railing, or fencing product purchased between 8-1-2004, and 8-27-2013.		Seattle, WA 98101 www.trex.com/legal/2013classactionsettlement.aspx .
9-11-2013	12-CV-884	(E.D. Wis.)	<p>Pension Trust Fund for Operating Engineers and Robert Lifson v. Assisted Living Concepts Inc. ("ALC") and Laurie Bebo</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that ALC and its subsidiaries operate licensed assisted living and senior living facilities across the U.S., and that, among other things, Defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in SEC filings and other public statements regarding ALC's compliance with occupancy, operating and other covenants under the terms of a lease with Ventas Realty for eight assisted living residences; that ALC failed to disclose to investors uncorrected citations for serious regulatory violations, which led state regulators to serve notices of intent to revoke licenses for three Ventas realty-leased facilities during the Class Period; and that when Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions became apparent to investors, the price of ALC Stock dropped sharply, causing the Class to incur substantial losses.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired ALC Stock between 3-4-2011 and 8-6-2012, and were allegedly damaged thereby.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP Blair A. Nicholas Niki L. Mendoza 12481 High Bluff Drive Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92130</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-13-2013	09-MD-02011	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: The Reserve Primary Fund Securities & Derivative Class Action Litigation</p> <p>This case arises from the Reserve Primary Fund's disclosure on 9-16-2008 that its Net Asset Value ("NAV") had declined below \$1.00 per share due to losses on more than \$785 million in investments in commercial paper and other debt issued by Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., and subsequent liquidation proceedings. A number of civil actions were filed against Defendants and others, including a putative class action filed by Lead Plaintiff on 9-19-2008 and captioned Third Avenue Institutional International Value Fund, L.P. v. The Reserve Fund, Case No. 08 Civ. 8103 (PGG).</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who: (i) purchased or held shares of the Reserve Primary Fund during the period from 9-18-2006 through 9-15-2008 and held them as of 4:00 p.m. ET on 9-15-2008; or (ii) purchased shares of the Primary Fund during the period between 4:00 p.m. ET on 9-15-2008 and 9-17-2008.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP John C. Brown, Esq. Niki L. Mendoza, Esq. 1285 Avenue of the Americas 38th Floor New York, NY 10019</p>
9-13-2013	12-CV-3325	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Doris Krenzela v. The Breachfeld Law Group, P.C., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act by sending collection letters that failed to state that the exercise of validation rights and any request for the name and address of the original creditor must be in writing to be effective.</p> <p>Class Members are all: (a) individuals, (b) to</p>	1-16-2014	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p>Daniel A. Edelman Tiffany N. Hardy Edelman, Combs, Lattuner & Goodwin, LLC 120 S. LaSalle Street Suite 1800 Chicago, Illinois 60603</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			whom Defendants sent an answer to plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, and (c) to collect a debt on which the current creditor is LVNV funding, LLC, on or after 5-3-2011 and on or before 5-23-2012.		
9-13-2013	10-CV-1413	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p>Calibuso, et al. v. Bank of America Corporation, et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants discriminated on the basis of gender against female Financial Advisors with respect to compensation and various other practices such as account distributions.</p> <p>Class Members are women who were employed as Financial Advisors or Financial Advisor trainees: (i) by Banc of America Investment Services, Inc. in the United States, Puerto Rico, or the U.S. territories from 3-16-2006 through and including the date that entity ceased to exist and thereafter, if applicable, by U.S. Wealth Management within Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. ("MLPF&S") through [date of preliminary hearing]; (ii) by U.S. Wealth Management within the United States, Puerto Rico, or the U.S. Territories from 8-2-2007 through [date of preliminary hearing]; (iii) by Banc of America Investment Services, Inc. in New York from 11-10-2004 through the date that entity ceased to exist and thereafter, if applicable, by U.S. Wealth Management within MLPF&S through [date of preliminary hearing]; (iv) by Banc of America Investment Services, Inc. in Florida from 1-10-2006 through the date that entity ceased to exist and thereafter, if applicable, by U.S.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Kelly M. Dermody LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 Battery Street 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111</p> <p>Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008</p> <p>Adam T. Klein Cara E. Greene OUTTEN & GOLDEN LLP 3 Park Avenue 29th Floor New York, New York 10016</p> <p>Telephone: (212) 245-1000 Facsimile: (212) 977-4005</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Wealth Management within MLPF&S through [date of preliminary hearing]; (v) by U.S. Wealth Management within MLPF&S in Missouri from 1-1-2007 through [date of preliminary hearing]; and (vi) by U.S. Wealth Management within MLPF&S in New Jersey from 1-1-2007 through [date of preliminary hearing].		
9-16-2013	12-CV-1377	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Soto, et al. v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that the engines in some Settlement Class Vehicles suffer from engine misfire and related symptoms and conditions, including premature spark plug failure. Plaintiff claims that Settlement Class Vehicles have a defect and related conditions that Honda should have disclosed and/or remedied, at no charge, under warranty.</p> <p>Class Members are all purchasers and lessees who reside in, and who purchased or leased their vehicles in the United States (other than for purposes of resale or distribution), of any: (a) Model-Year 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 Honda Accord vehicles equipped with a 6-cylinder engine with VCM-2; (b) Model-Year 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 Honda Odyssey vehicles equipped with a 6-cylinder engine with VCM-2; (c) Model-Year 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 Honda Pilot vehicles equipped with a 6-cylinder engine with VCM-2; (d) Model-Year 2010 and 2011 Honda Accord Crosstour vehicles equipped with a 6-cylinder engine with VCM-2; and (e) Model-Year 2012 Crosstour vehicles equipped with a 6-cylinder engine with VCM-2.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit or call:</p> <p>www.enginemisfiresettlement.com</p> <p>1 888 888-3082</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-16-2013	13-CV-21107	(S.D. Fla.)	<p>Saccoccio v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., et al. Plaintiff alleges that when a borrower was required to have hazard lender-placed insurance ("LPI") for his or her property pursuant to a residential mortgage or home equity loan or line of credit, and evidence of acceptable coverage was not provided, Chase Bank and Chase Insurance Agency, Inc. ("Chase" or "Chase Defendants") would place hazard insurance in a manner that gave Chase Defendants an unauthorized benefit. Plaintiff alleges further that Chase Defendants did so primarily to receive "kickbacks" in the form of commissions from and reinsurance arrangements with Assurant, ASIC, SGIC and VHC ("Assurant Defendants"). Plaintiff also alleges that the way in which LIP policies were obtained and placed caused the rates and the amounts of coverage to be excessive.</p> <p>Class Members are all borrowers in the United States who, between 1-1-2008 and [date of preliminary hearing], were charged by Chase Defendants as insureds or additional insureds under a hazard policy for residential property and who, during the Class Period, either (i) paid to the Chase Defendants the charged premium (net of refunds) for that hazard policy or (ii) did not pay to and still owe the Chase Defendants the charged premium (net of refunds) for that hazard policy.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: www.SaccoccioSettlementInfo.com</p>
9-13-2013	11-CV-03977	(D.N.J.)	<p>Loreto, et al. v. Coast Cutlery Co. Plaintiffs allege that Coast Cutlery Co. ("Coast") misrepresented the quality of the</p>	Not set yet	For more information write to:

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>stainless steel contained in certain of its knives and multi-tool products sold in the United States.</p> <p>Class Members are all purchasers in the United States who purchased affected Coast knives or multi-tools between 6-1-2009 and 6-30-2011.</p>		<p>Antonio Vozzolo Faruqi & Faruqi LLP 369 Lexington Ave., 10th Floor New York, NY 10017</p> <p>Scott Bursor Joseph I. Marchese Bursor & Fisher, P.A. 888 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019</p>
9-18-2013	12-CV-10180	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Shvager v. ViaSat, Inc., et al. Plaintiff alleges that telephone calls with ViaSat, Inc. and ViaSat Communications, Inc. (collectively "ViaSat") were monitored and/or recorded without providing notice that the calls may be monitored or recorded.</p> <p>Class Members are: (1) persons who are not a customer of ViaSat and not bound to an arbitration clause with ViaSat, (2) participated in one or more inbound and/or outbound telephone calls with employees, agents or representatives of ViaSat between 7-1-2012 and [the date of entry of the Preliminary Approval Order], (3) the call was recorded and/or monitored by ViaSat, and (4) ViaSat did not disclose at the outset of the call that the telephone call would be recorded and/or monitored.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Zev B. Zysman Law Offices of Zev B. Zysman, APC 15760 Ventura Blvd. Suite 1915 Encino, CA 91436</p>
9-20-2013	10-CV-07233	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Hoi Ming Michael Ho, et al. v. Duoyuan Global Water, Inc., et al. Plaintiffs allege that Duoyuan Global Water, Inc., ("DGW") American Depositary Shares were</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail: Lionel Z. Glancy</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>artificially inflated as a result of a series of untrue or materially misleading statements concerning the company's financial position. Plaintiffs allege that certain of these material misstatements were contained in the registration statement and prospectus filed and disseminated with respect to both DGW's initial public offering ("IOP") and its secondary public offering ("SPO"), giving rise to the liability of those Settling Defendants who bear legal responsibility for these offering documents to compensate investors who paid more for their ADSs than they were worth.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who purchased or otherwise acquired the publicly traded American Depository Shares of DGW between 6-24-2009, and 4-5-2011 inclusive, and were allegedly damaged thereby.</p>		<p>Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP 1925 Century Park East Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90067</p> <p>1 888 773-9224</p> <p>settlements@glancylaw.com</p>
9-18-2013	07-CV-5944	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Cathode Ray Tubes (CRT) Antitrust Litigation</p> <p>The lawsuit claims that the Defendants conspired to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize prices of CRT Products resulting in overcharges to consumers who bought CRT Products such as Televisions and Computer Monitors.</p> <p>Class Members are:</p> <p>Nationwide Injunctive Class: All persons or entities that indirectly purchased in the U.S., for their own use and not for resale, from 3-1-1995 through 11-25-2007, any CRT Product made by the Defendants or their co-conspirators.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Mario N. Alioto Trump, Alioto, Trump & Prescott LLP 2280 Union Street San Francisco, CA 94123</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Statewide Damages Classes: All persons or entities in Arizona, California, Florida, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, South Dakota, Tennessee, Vermont, West Virginia, Wisconsin or the District of Columbia, who or which indirectly purchased for their own use and not for resale, from 3-1-1995 through 1-25-2007, any CRT Product made by the Defendants or their co-conspirators.</p> <p>All persons or entities in Hawaii who or which indirectly purchased for their own use and not for resale, from 6-25-2002 through 11-25-2007, any CRT Product made by the Defendants or their co-conspirators.</p> <p>All persons or entities in Nebraska who or which indirectly purchased for their own use and not for resale, from 7-20-2002 through 11-25-2007, any CRT Product made by the Defendants or their co-conspirators.</p>		
9-20-2013	12-CV-07319	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Kami Brown v. Defender Security Company d/b/a Defender Direct, Inc. and Protect Your Home Plaintiff alleges that telephone calls with Defender Security Company ("Defender") were monitored and/or recorded without providing notice that the calls may be monitored or recorded.</p> <p>Class Members are persons who: (a) placed one or more telephone calls to Defender between 7-25-2011 and 6-1-2013, spoke with a</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Zev B. Zysman Law Offices of Zev B. Zysman APC 15760 Ventura Blvd. Suite 1915 Encino, CA 91436</p> <p>Jordan L. Lurie</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			representative on behalf of Defender, and were not provided with notice that the call may be recorded or monitored; and/or (b) received one or more telephone calls from Defender between 7-25-2011 and 6-1-2013, spoke with a representative on behalf of Defender, and were not provided with notice that the call may be recorded or monitored.		Capstone Law APC 1840 Century Park East Suite 450 Los Angeles, CA 90067
9-20-2013	10-CV-02553	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Apple and AT&T iPad Unlimited Data Plan Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that iPad 3G purchasers were not provided with access to an "unlimited" data plan in the manner originally advertised by Apple and AT&T.</p> <p>Class Members are all United States residents who purchased or ordered an iPad 3G in the United States on or before 6-7-2010. AT&T Subclass Members are persons who did not sign up for any AT&T data plan for the iPad at anytime.</p>	Not set yet	No information
9-20-2013	10-CV-0876	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p>Morangelli, et al. v. Chemed Corp., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that commissioned technicians were required to bear Defendants' business expenses and that Defendants improperly recorded some of technicians' work time, resulting in unpaid minimum wages and overtime wages, and were subject to unlawful wage deductions, in violation of federal and state labor laws.</p> <p>Class Members are persons who worked as a</p>	1-6-2014	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p>Getman & Sweeney PPLC www.getmansweeney.com</p> <p>Pelton & Associates PC www.peltonlaw.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			commissioned service technician for Roto-Rooter Services Company between 2-25-2005 and 11-28-2012.		
9-23-2013	08-CV-3017	(E.D. Cal.)	<p>Four In One Company, Inc., et al. v. SK Foods, LP, et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants conspired to raise and fix the prices of processed tomato products for nearly four years, resulting in overcharges to direct purchasers of those processed tomato products.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities that, between 2-1-2005 and 12-31-2008, directly purchased processed tomato products in the United States from any Defendant, subsidiary or affiliate thereof.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Michael P. Lehmann Arthur N. Bailey, Jr. Hausfeld LLP 44 Montgomery Street Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94103</p> <p>Stephen R. Neuwirth Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP 51 Madison Avenue 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010</p>
9-24-2013	09-CV-00118	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Anwar, et al. v. Fairfield Greenwich Limited, et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that GlobeOp engaged in negligent conduct, made materially false and misleading statements and omissions, and breached its duties and obligations with respect to the administration of partnership interests in the funds from 10-31-2003 through 9-1-2006.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or held investments in Greenwich Sentry, L.P. or Greenwich Sentry Partners, L.P. from 10-31-2003 through 9-1-2006, who were investors in the funds as of 12-10-2008, and suffered a net loss of principal invested in the funds.</p>	11-22-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Robert C. Finkel Wolf Popper LLP 845 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-27-2013	08-MD-01912	(E.D. Pa.)	<p>In re: Fasteners Antitrust Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendant entered into a conspiracy to fix, maintain, raise or stabilize the prices of fasteners sold in the United States, in violation of federal antitrust laws.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased fasteners in the United States directly from Defendants during the period from and including 1-1-1991 to and including 9-19-2007.</p>	1-10-2014	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Steven A. Reiss Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153</p> <p>Peter E. Halle Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20004</p>
9-27-2013	10-CV-3617	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: Platinum and Palladium Commodities Litigation Plaintiffs allege that the Settling Defendants, non-settling defendant MF Global, Inc., and other persons conspired to inflate the prices of New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") platinum futures contracts and NYMEX palladium futures contracts in violation of the Commodity Exchange Act ("CEA"), 7 U.S.C. §1, et seq. and the Sherman Antitrust Act ("Sherman Act"), 15 U.S.C. §1 et seq. Greg Galan and Richard White (the "Futures Plaintiffs") also allege that defendant Joseph Welsh negligently breached duties and is liable for negligence. The Settlement covers the period from 6-1-2006 to 4-29-2010.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons that purchased or sold a NYMEX platinum futures contract or a NYMEX palladium futures contract during the period from 6-1-2006 thru 4-29-2010, inclusive.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>John Lowther Doyle Lowther LLP 10200 Willow Creek Road Suite 150 San Diego, CA 92131</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-27-2013	09-CV-02661		<p>In re: Coventry Health Care, Inc. ERISA Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants breached their responsibilities in steering the Coventry Health Care, Inc., Retirement Saving Plan.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who were participants in or beneficiaries of the Coventry Health Care, Inc. (the "Company") Retirement Saving Plan (the "Plan") and who held Company Stock in their Plan accounts at any time during the Class Period, defined as the time period between 2-9-2007 and 10-22-2008.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p>Harwood Feffer LLP Attn: Robert I. Harwood 488 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022</p> <p>212 935-7400</p> <p>rharwood@hfesq.com</p>
9-27-2013	13-CV-02563	(D. Minn.)	<p>Molly Martin and Lauren Barry v. Cargill, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that they purchased Truvia natural sweetener products and were misled by statements on the labels describing the Truvia consumer products and their ingredients - including stevia leaf extract and erythritol - as "natural". Plaintiffs allege Cargill violated several Minnesota and California consumer protection laws as well as the breach-of-warranty laws of various states.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who, from 7-1-2008, through [Date of Preliminary Approval Order] resided in the United States and purchased in the United States any of the Truvia natural sweetener products for their household use or personal consumption and not for resale.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Clayton D. Halunen Melissa W. Wolchansky Halunen & Associates 80 South 8th Street Suite 1650 Minneapolis, MN 55402</p> <p>Kim E. Richman Reese Richman LLP 875 Ave of the Americas 18th Floor New York, NY 10001</p>